

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/610,687	WORKMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Faedtke, for Michael B. Martin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: ~ 11:20 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicants' representatives' Office to check the status of the application. Applicants' representatives Office indicated no response to the Office Action mailed March was filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DANIEL S. METZMAIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1712
Daniel S. Metzmaier

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)